



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,737	02/14/2002	Jurgen Stauder	PF010018	1615
7590 03/25/2005			EXAMINER	
JOSEPH S .TRIPOLI			JANKUS, ALMIS R	
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P. O. BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			2672	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	10/075,737	STAUDER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Almis R Jankus	2671			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 February 2002</u> .				
· <u>·</u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the option	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/075,737 Page 2

Art Unit: 2671

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-13 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dye et al.

With respect to claim 1, Dye et al. teach the claimed method for estimating light sources in a common support space with at least one visual data set respectively

Page 3

associated with at least one individual support space and having a position, a dimension and a size in the common support space, said method comprising the steps of determining the position of light sources in accordance with a position, a dimension and size of an individual support space associated with said at least one visual data set; and determining a color distribution for said light sources according to said at least one visual data set, at figures 16, 17 and column 34 line 63 to column 35 line 22 with "FIG. 16 illustrates the display screen 142 including multiple windows and their relative positions. In this example, W0 or window 0, is the matte or the background window, and W1, W2 and W3 are windows which overlap each other within the base window W0. The comers of the windows are indicated by the positions. W0Y0, for example, is the first line of W0 and W2Y20 at the bottom is the last line of window W2, which is at Y position 20. The same positions are true with the X coordinates. This information is programmed by the driver software into the Windows Workspace area of the system memory 110.

FIG. 17 illustrates a single raster scan line roughly corresponding to the display screen 142 of FIG. 16 and the result when the display refresh list method is used. The display refresh list method of the present invention allows the software window managers or drivers to have independent control of each application's color, position depth, and blending functions as well as individual control of indexed color. FIG. 17 presumes that there are four different process windows pointed to by Xn through Xn+3. Each of the four window workspaces contains the starting X/Y position of the window, the color depth, the Z depth, and the alpha value pointers. As shown, the first window is a single

Application/Control Number

Art Unit: 2671

RGB direct color. The second window shows direct RGB color along with a depth buffer and an alpha buffer. The third window shows only a simple gray scale window while the fourth buffer shows gray scale with a depth buffer."

Page 4

With respect to claim 2, Dye et al. further teach the claimed for each of said visual data sets comprising the steps of determining the number N of light sources, at column 38 lines 14-59, column 40 lines 51-65, column 51 line 46 to column 52 line 44; determining the position of the N light sources, at column 34 line 63 to column 35 line 22; and determining the intensity of each light source, at column 49 lines 19-34.

Claim 3 further requires the method of claim 1, comprising the step of automatically deriving the number N of light sources from the size of the individual support space associated with the considered visual data set. Dye et al. teach this at column 51 line 46 to column 52 line 44.

Claim 4 further requires the method of claim 1, wherein said light sources position determining step depends on former positions of said light sources when at least one of said visual data sets is dynamic. Dye et al. teach this at column 2 line 48 to column 3 line 7.

Claim 5 further requires the method of claim 1, comprising the step of determining a spatial color distribution of at least one of said light sources from a

Page 5

filtering function of said visual data set for said at least one light source in a spatial and/or temporal neighborhood of a position of said at least one light source. Dye et al. teach this at column 6 line 48 to column 7 line 3.

Claim 6 is similar to claim 1 but further requires applying estimated light source information derived from said estimated light sources for at least a first of said visual data sets to at least a second of said visual data sets so that the first visual data set illuminates the second visual data set. Dye et al. teach this as alpha blending at column 5 lines 8-34.

Claim 7 further requires the method according to claim 6 comprising the steps of moving at least one of said light sources out of individual support space associated with said first visual data set; and applying said estimated light source information derived from said estimated light sources for said first visual data set to said second visual data set. Dye et al. teach this at column 41 lines 19-32.

Claim 8 further requires the method according to claim 6, comprising the steps of determining the position of light sources in accordance with a position, a dimension and size of an individual support space associated with said at least one visual data set; and, determining a color distribution for said light sources according to said at least one visual data set. Dye et al. teach this at column 6 line 48 to column 7 line 3.

Art Unit: 2671

Claims 9-12 recite features previously addressed at the rejection of claims 1-8, which are similarly rejected under similar respective rationale.

Claim 13 recite features previously addressed at the rejection of claims 1-8 but further requires a generating device. Dye et al. teach this at figure 3.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Almis R Jankus whose telephone number is 703-305-9795. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on 703-305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AJ

ALMIS'R. JANKUS PRIMARY EXAMINER